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Solar to chemical energy conversion could provide an alternative to mankind’s unsustainable use of fossil fuels. One promising approach is the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ into chemical products, in particular hydrocarbons and oxygenates which are formed by multi-electron transfer reactions. Here, a nanostructured Cu–Ag bimetallic cathode is utilized to selectively and efficiently facilitate these reactions. When operated in an electrolysis cell, the cathode provides a constant energetic efficiency for hydrocarbon and oxygenate production. As a result, when coupled to Si photovoltaic cells, solar conversion efficiencies of 3–4% to the target products are achieved for 0.35 to 1 Sun illumination. Use of a four-terminal III–V/Si tandem solar cell configuration yields a conversion efficiency to hydrocarbons and oxygenates exceeding 5% at 1 Sun illumination. This study provides a clear framework for the future advancement of efficient solar-driven CO₂ reduction devices.

Broader context
The continuing rise in Earth’s atmospheric CO₂ levels, which is primarily due to anthropogenic combustion of fossil fuels, may be mankind’s most enduring legacy on the planet. A number of technologies would need to be developed to reverse this trend. Among them, the conversion of CO₂ to fuels and chemicals using sustainable power sources, analogous to but more efficient than photosynthesis, could directly reduce the rate of CO₂ emission into the atmosphere and as such is a key component of any strategy to stabilize the Earth’s atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Reported here is a solar-driven CO₂ reduction system which generates hydrocarbon and oxygenate products with an efficiency far higher than natural photosynthesis, and which also operates capably over the course of a solar day.

Introduction
The development of sustainable energy sources is critical for continued human progress amid rising populations and climbing energy demand. The direct conversion of the abundant solar resource into chemical energy is an attractive approach to meet this challenge.1,2 The coupling of photovoltaic (PV) and electrochemical (EC) components provides a means to convert solar energy into fuels and chemicals.3,4 While solar-assisted water splitting has been widely studied for hydrogen production,3,5,6 there are comparatively few reports of solar-driven electrochemical CO₂ reduction to carbon-containing products.3,7–9 Notably, prior to 2015, reported overall conversion efficiencies for solar driven CO₂ reduction did not exceed 1%.3

Recently, a number of devices which perform solar-driven CO₂ reduction have been reported.9–12 Arai et al. reported an integrated device based on a triple-junction amorphous Si cell with a semitransparent IrOₓ anode and polymeric Ru cathode which produced formate with a 4.5% overall energy conversion.
efficiency. Schreier et al. used three series-connected halide perovskite solar cells in combination with an electrochemical cell containing an Au cathode and an IrO$_2$ anode to produce carbon monoxide with a conversion efficiency of 6.5%. Zhou et al. coupled a TiO$_2$-protected III–V photoanode, a Pd/C cathode, and a bipolar membrane to achieve a solar-to-formate conversion efficiency of 10%. Very recently, Schreier et al. coupled a GaInP/GaInAs/Ge tandem solar cell to a cell equipped with a bipolar membrane to produce CO at a 1 Sun efficiency of 13.4%.

While these reports are encouraging, achieving solar-driven CO$_2$ reduction reaction (CO$_2$ RR) efficiencies comparable to those obtained for water splitting in similar devices (~8–20%), two-electron reduction products such as CO and formate would require further processing to be used as fuels. Thus, solar-driven conversion of CO$_2$ to more highly-reduced products such as hydrocarbons (e.g. methane, ethylene) and oxygenates (e.g. ethanol) with comparable efficiency remains an unmet challenge.

Fig. 1 depicts the components required for a complete solar-driven EC CO$_2$ reduction device, with an emphasis on the overall voltage requirement. Efficient operation requires the following: (i) a highly active and stable cathode for CO$_2$ reduction to the desired products; (ii) a durable anode with a low overpotential for the O$_2$ evolution reaction; (iii) optimization of the cell and electrolyte for efficient mass transport of the reactants and minimization of resistive losses; (iv) a membrane or other method to allow ion transport and minimize back reactions of the products.

In this work, we selected and optimized each component of the PV–EC system depicted in Fig. 1 with an emphasis on minimizing the voltage losses and on producing hydrocarbon and oxygenate products. With respect to the cathode, which performs the CO$_2$RR, nanostructured “oxide-derived” (OD) copper electrocatalysts have been demonstrated to increase selectivity to C–C coupled products such as ethylene and ethanol which are the targets of this study. However, OD copper has a relatively small potential window for optimal generation of these products. Instead, we developed a CuAg bimetallic cathode which is active over a wide operational window while maintaining selectivity for C$_2$ and C$_3$ products. A CsHCO$_3$ electrolyte was used, as it has been shown to enhance selectivity to C$_2$ products compared to KHCO$_3$, which is more typically employed. To reduce the overall voltage requirement of the cell, we employed an IrO$_2$ nanotube anode has a very low overpotential for water oxidation neutral-pH electrolyte. These components are combined in a sandwich-type electrochemical cell which has a short cathode-to-anode distance, an anion-conducting membrane, and an efficient gas-to-liquid mass transfer for the CO$_2$ reactant.

Fig. 1 Components in a prospective system to use renewable solar light to convert CO$_2$ into chemical products via electrochemical reduction. Overpotentials at the cathode and anode and resistive losses in the electrolyte and through the cation/anion conducting membrane (if present) must be minimized in order to achieve a high overall energy conversion efficiency.
We investigated in detail the effect of the electrolyte concentration on the cell current and the resultant product distribution to find optimal values. The power-matching electronics coupling the PV and EC components were selected to achieve high overall efficiency under the varying illumination conditions (0.35 to 1 Sun) which occur over the course of a solar day due to Sun angle and cloud shading. We also developed a power-matching strategy to couple a high-efficiency 4-terminal PV device to the EC cell, and it with this configuration that our highest solar-to-hydrocarbon and oxygenate efficiency is achieved, 5.6%.

**Results and discussion**

**Nanocoral bimetallic cathode with wide window of efficient CO₂RR operation**

A selective and active cathode is essential for the efficient operation of the overall device. Although an oxide-derived Cu catalyst was also evaluated in this study (see ESI† for electrochemical data), we found that a Cu-rich nanostructured bimetallic CuAg catalyst is better suited for our overall operating conditions. It provides superior selectivity and current density over the range of voltages expected for variable solar illumination and under the electrolyte conditions required to reduce resistive losses in the cell. A false-color EDX SEM image of this cathode is shown in Fig. 2a and reveals a “nanocoral” morphology formed by dendrites 50–100 nm in length (see also Fig. S1 and S2, ESI†). XPS analysis shows that Ag is present at about 1% atomic concentration and XRD analysis indicates that both metals are polycrystalline (Table S1 and Fig. S3–S5, ESI†). The HRTEM measurements and corresponding HAADF-STEM-EDS mapping of CuAg cathode clearly shows the distribution of copper and silver (Fig. S7 and S8, ESI†).

We performed extensive measurements on the CuAg cathode in the three-electrode geometry to benchmark its performance. Fig. 2b shows the effect of electrolyte concentration (0.1–0.5 M CsHCO₃) on the current density. The current density increases with increasing electrolyte concentration, and the potential required to operate at our target current density of 10 mA cm⁻² is reduced by 170 mV over this range. A higher electrolyte concentration results in a drop in resistive losses across the cell; however, an electrolyte concentration higher than 0.5 M diminishes the C₂–C₃ product selectivity (see ESI† for optimization discussion). A typical product distribution is shown in Fig. 2c for a cathode potential of −1 V vs. RHE (see also Fig. S13b, ESI†). The CuAg cathode shows excellent activity with respect to CO₂ reduction to hydrocarbons and oxygenates and shows only a 30–35% Faradaic efficiency (FE) of hydrogen generation (Fig. 2c), which is less than OD copper at this potential (Fig. S13b, ESI†). The CuAg cathode shows a high faradaic efficiency for ethylene, ethanol, and propanol formation, which is substantially higher than for the oxide-derived catalyst (Fig. 2c and Fig. S13b, ESI†) at the same conditions. We performed a stability assessment for three days.
in 0.1 M CsHCO₃ at −1 V vs. RHE and found that the current density and product selectivity were generally stable over this period (Fig. S11, ESI†).

**Anode with low overpotential in neutral pH**

Selection of an anode material which produces the lowest possible overpotential for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is crucial for achieving an overall efficient device. The anode must be stable and operate at near-neutral pH (6.8–7.6) for the range of electrolyte concentrations used here. To this end, we modified a templated electrodeposition procedure for nanostructured IrO₂. Notable modifications include the use of hydrothermal growth to make the sacrificial ZnO nanotube template, allowing for deposition on arbitrary substrates, and the use of different electrodeposition conditions (see Methods). As a result, IrO₂ nanotubes with a high surface area morphology are produced as shown in Fig. 2d. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) line scan measurements indicate uniform distribution of iridium and oxygen, with no observation of Zn from the template (Fig. S10, ESI†).

Fig. 2e shows the three-electrode J–V curves for IrO₂ nanotubes grown on FTO, Ti, and Ir. The lowest overpotential at our target current density of 10 mA cm⁻², 340 mV in 0.2 M CsHCO₃, is found for the IrO₂/ir anode, and we employed this configuration for all subsequent device work. Fig. 2f shows that the use of a more concentrated electrolyte further improves the OER activity as evidenced by an additional decrease in the overpotential, to only 300 mV in 0.5 M CsHCO₃. Multiday stability of the anode was also demonstrated as detailed in the ESI† (see IrO₂ stability measurements in ESI†).

**Electrochemical energy conversion efficiency measurements**

The electrochemical conversion efficiency was evaluated by operating the cell in a two-electrode mode with a CuAg nanocoral cathode and IrO₂ nanotubes on an Ir foil as the anode. The anode and cathode chambers were separated by an anion exchange membrane (Selemion) in a sandwich-type electrochemical cell. The energy conversion efficiency was calculated for each product (H₂, CO, CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, C₂H₅OH, C₃H₇OH, etc.) using their standard thermodynamic potential, their Faradaic efficiency, and the total input cell voltage (see energetic efficiency calculation in ESI† for more details); below is an example calculation for ethylene:

\[
\eta_{\text{energetic,C₂H₄}} = \frac{E_{\text{C₂H₄}}^{\text{0}} \cdot \text{FE}_{\text{C₂H₄}}}{V_{\text{in}}} \tag{1}
\]

where \(E_{\text{C₂H₄}}^{\text{0}}\) is the standard thermodynamic potential of ethylene, \(\text{FE}_{\text{C₂H₄}}\) is the faradaic efficiency of ethylene, and \(V_{\text{in}}\) is the total cell voltage. The total energetic efficiency of an electrochemical cell is the sum of the individual energetic efficiencies of all CO₂ RR products. Hydrocarbons (HCS) and oxygenates refer to ethylene, methane, ethanol, propanol, allyl alcohol, propionaldehyde, acetaldehyde, glycolaldehyde, ethylene glycol, hydroxyacetone, acetate and formate (see Table S7, ESI† for details). It is important to stress that this measurement mode accounts for the potential losses of each component of the electrochemical cell (overpotentials of electrodes and resistance losses) and accurately defines the overall electrochemical cell efficiency. Importantly, electrochemical cell efficiency calculations should be done in a two-electrode configuration because the voltage drop at the counter electrode is unknown in three-electrode measurements.

Both the total cell voltage (3.1–4.1 V) and the electrolyte concentration (0.1–0.5 M) were varied to assess their effect on the conversion efficiency. Fig. 3a shows that the target current density can be achieved in the tested voltage range for electrolyte concentrations of 0.1 M and above. We operated the electrochemical cell over a range of conditions to find a working window in which the cell produces an operating current density of 10 ± 5 mA cm⁻². The shaded part of the J–V curve in Fig. 3a demarcates the employed working window. Fig. 3d and e illustrate the product distributions obtained by varying the voltage and the electrolyte concentration, respectively. Notably, in this two-electrode configuration, raising the voltage and/or electrolyte concentration reduces the FE to hydrogen, thereby increasing the selectivity to hydrocarbons. We compared these results with those of oxide-derived copper and found the opposite behavior: the hydrogen FE increases with voltage as well as with electrolyte concentration, reducing the selectivity to hydrocarbons (Fig. S15b, ESI†). As a result, as shown in Fig. 3b and c, the overall energetic efficiency to the target products of this study, hydrocarbons and oxygenates, is relatively constant at nearly 20% over a wide range of applied voltages and electrolyte concentrations. Also, most of these products are C₂ species such as ethylene, ethanol, propanol, etc. (refer to Table S7, ESI† for all CO₂ RR products observed in this study). We also compared this data with OD copper at same electrolyte concentrations and again found comparatively better selectivity for HCSs and oxygenates for the CuAg nanocoral cathode (Fig. S11 and S12, ESI†). Minimizing the overall cell voltage while maintaining overall efficiency is essential for operating the system as a whole. We evaluated the cell performance at low voltage by operating the cell at 2.5 V in 0.5 M CsHCO₃ (below 2.5 V, hydrogen generation dominates), shown in Fig. S17 (ESI†). These conditions correspond to low light illumination in the coupled system as discussed below. A total energetic efficiency of 50.3% (Table S9, ESI†) and an energetic efficiency for hydrocarbons and oxygenates of 24% were obtained.

**Solar-driven CO₂ reduction efficiency measurements**

The output voltage of most practical photovoltaic (PV) cells is significantly lower than the voltage required the electrochemical cell. Current-matched PV cells can be connected in series to increase the overall voltage,¹¹ but such a configuration would have a time-varying voltage outside the operational window under variable illumination, which would affect selectivity. Instead, in this study, to achieve a potential in the window required for the electrochemical cell operation, and to maintain those conditions under variable illumination levels, we employed maximum power point (MPP) tracking circuits with boost converters as represented in Fig. 4a (see Methods for further details). Such MPP circuits can step up an initial voltage below 1 V into the
required range with efficiencies of 90% and above. Details of the PV cells, MPP tracking circuits, and electrical configuration are in the “Solar-Driven CO₂ Data” section of the ESI.†

As shown schematically in Fig. 2a, two commercially-available silicon solar cells were used to form a complete solar-to-hydrocarbon system (TD1). A second configuration was assembled utilizing two laboratory-fabricated high-efficiency III–V/silicon tandem cells connected in series to two maximum power point (MPP) trackers, which were in turn connected in parallel (TD2). The total illumination areas of the solar cells were set based on power matching to the electrochemical cell: 3.198 cm² and 2.0 cm² for TD1 and TD2, respectively. In the case of TD1, the illuminated area was chosen to be sufficiently large to drive the EC cell even at low illumination conditions (0.35 Sun). A detailed characterization of the solar elements of TD1 and TD2 is in the ESI.†

At 1 Sun, the two series-connected Si cells provide an open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 1.1 V and a short circuit current ($I_{sc}$) of 61.3 mA. For TD2 at 1 Sun, the top III–V cells provide a $V_{oc}$ of 1.44 V and 1.46 V, and an $I_{sc}$ of 33.4 mA and 30.4 mA; the bottom silicon solar cells provide a $V_{oc}$ of 0.7 V each and an $I_{sc}$ of 49.8 mA and 49.4 mA (Fig. S20, ESI†).

The solar-to-chemical (STC) conversion efficiency and device stability of TD1 was measured as a function of illumination intensity (0.35 to 1.0 Sun) and electrolyte concentration (0.1–0.5 M CsHCO₃). Under these conditions, the MPP output voltage (which is the electrochemical cell input) was in the range of 2.5–3.6 V with corresponding current densities in the range of 4.95–15.11 mA cm⁻² (see ESI† for details on each experiment, Table S8) (Fig. 4b). The current increases with electrolyte concentration due to higher ionic conductivity. Notably, the system yields a consistent product distribution over this range of conditions, as could be anticipated from the two-electrode EC measurements discussed above. The faradaic efficiency of hydrogen decreases with the electrolyte concentration, whereas that of methane increases, which is similar to our previous observation (Fig. S21, ESI†). Ethylene, ethanol and propanol are the major hydrocarbon products with a total Faradaic efficiency of 30–40% at 0.35 to 1 Sun illumination (Fig. S21, ESI†).

For the TD1 configuration, the total solar-to-chemical conversion efficiency is a maximum of 7.5% at 0.35 Sun illumination and in 0.5 M CsHCO₃ electrolyte concentration, which reduces to a minimum of 2.4% with 1 Sun and 0.1 M CsHCO₃ (Fig. S17, ESI†). The solar to hydrocarbon and oxygenate conversion efficiency is a maximum of 3.9% at 0.53 Sun illumination and 0.5 M CsHCO₃ electrolyte (Fig. 4d). Interestingly, we attained higher solar-to-chemical conversion efficiency at lower illumination because the input power decreases with illumination by a greater proportion than the current density, which is moderated by the MPP tracker (eqn (S7), ESI†). Device stability was demonstrated by a 6 h measurement of TD1 in 0.2 M CsHCO₃...
under 1 Sun illumination. As expected, the device was stable and exhibited a STC efficiency over 3.2%, and a solar to hydrocarbon and oxygenate efficiency of 2% (Fig. S23, ESI†). These efficiency values are in good agreement with short-term measurements, and demonstrate that the membrane is preventing product crossover to the anode.

TD2 was evaluated under 1 Sun illumination as a function of electrolyte concentration (0.1–0.5 M CsHCO₃). As the electrolyte concentration is increased, the voltage transferred to the EC cell drops from 3.5 to 3.0 V, and the current density more than doubles from 5.4 to 13.2 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 4c and Table S10, ESI†). Importantly, the faradaic efficiency for hydrogen decreases from 45% to 25% over this range. As a result, the system attains both its highest solar to all products (8.4%) and solar to hydrocarbon and oxygenate conversion efficiency (5.6%) at the highest electrolyte concentration, 0.5 M CsHCO₃ (Fig. 4e and Fig. S25; Table S11, ESI†). This conversion efficiency, as well as those obtained from TD1 over a range of illumination conditions, greatly exceeds the solar conversion efficiency of natural photosynthesis, which is estimated to be about 1%.²⁸

Experimental

Chemical and materials

All materials were used as received unless otherwise specified. Cesium carbonate (Cs₂CO₃, ACS ≥ 99.995%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Copper foil and silver foil (0.1 mm thick, Cu and Au, each 99.999% metal basis) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Carbon dioxide (CO₂, 99.999%), nitrogen (N₂, 99.999%), helium (He, 99.999%), and hydrogen (H₂, 99.999%) were purchased from Praxair. Electrolyte solutions were prepared with 18.2 MΩ deionized water from a Millipore system. CsHCO₃ electrolyte solutions (0.1–0.5 M) were prepared by vigorously bubbling (0.05–2.5 M) Cs₂CO₃ solutions with CO₂ gas for a few minutes to achieve the desired pH. Copper sulfate (CuSO₄, ACS ≥ 99.99% trace metal basis), zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Reagent Grade ≥ 98%), hexamethylenetetramine (C₆H₁₂N₄, ACS ≥ 99.0%), ethanolamine (NH₂OH, ACS ≥ 98%), 2-methoxyethanol (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OH, ≥ 99%), hydrogen peroxide solution (H₂O₂, 30 wt%), oxalic acid (C₂H₂O₄, anhydrous, ≥ 99.0%), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, ≥ 99.0%), and potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃, ACS ≥ 99.995%, trace metal basis) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Zinc acetate (Zn(C₂H₃O₂), anhydrous, ≥ 99.98%, metal basis) and iridium chloride (IrCl₄, ≥ 99.95%, metal basis) were purchased from Alfa Aesar.

Cathode preparation

Silver foil was cut into 2 cm squares and cleaned by sonication for 15 min in acetone, isopropanol, and deionized (DI) water. Silver foil electrodes were sanded with increasing grades of sandpaper from 1200 to 2500 grit and then mechanically polished with five-micron size alumina nanoparticles (TED Pella Inc., product no. 895-6-18).
The mechanically-polished silver foils were degreased in 0.1 M HCl for 5 min to remove the undesired oxide layer and finally washed in DI water for 45 min. An aqueous solution of 0.1 M copper sulfate was prepared and maintained at pH 1 by adding sulfuric acid prior to electrodeposition. The nanocoral CuAg bimetallic cathode was fabricated by a two-electrode electrodeposition method. A Teflon cell was used for electrodeposition, in which the silver foil was working electrode and a Pt mesh was used as the counter electrode. The electrodeposition process was performed at a high constant current of 400 mA for 10 s. After electrodeposition, the CuAg cathode was washed in DI water and dried with a gentle nitrogen stream. Under high current density conditions, the competitive reaction of hydrogen evolution forms a bubble template, which defines the nanocoral morphology and also controls the amount of silver deposited at the surface.

For comparison, we synthesized an oxide-derived copper cathode following the method of Li et al. Copper foil was cut into 2 cm squares and cleaned by degreasing for 30 min in acetone, isopropanol, and DI water. The electrodes were then electropolished in concentrated phosphoric acid at a potential of 2.0 V for 5 min with a copper-foil counter electrode. The electropolished Cu foils were rinsed with DI water and dried with a stream of nitrogen. Finally, the Cu foils were annealed at 500 °C for 2 h to obtain oxide-derived copper cathodes.

IrO2 anode preparation

We prepared high surface area IrO2 by adapting and modifying the procedure of Zhao et al. Instead of drop casting, we grew the sacrificial template with hydrothermal synthesis, which produced better uniformity. We performed hydrothermal growth of both TiOx and ZnO templates. However, we found that we were not able to completely remove the TiO2 template after IrO2 deposition whereas the ZnO nanorods could be etched easily with dilute perchloric acid. We prepared iridium foil and FTO-coated glass substrates by sonication for 15 min in acetone, isopropanol, and DI water. Iridium foil was prepared by sanding to IrO2 nanotubes on Ti foil (Ti/IrO2) and to IrO2 nanotubes on a FTO substrate.

First, a seed layer of ZnO was deposited by spin coating a solution of 0.1 M zinc acetate, 0.05 M ethanolamine, and 2-methoxyethanol. These substrates were dried with a nitrogen stream. The ZnO template was prepared by adapting a previously-reported two-step method. First, a seed layer of ZnO was deposited by spin coating a solution of 0.1 M zinc acetate, 0.05 M ethanolamine, and 2-methoxyethanol. Two spin-coating depositions were performed: first at 500 rpm for 5 s and then at 3000 rpm for 30 s, followed by annealing at 350 °C for 5 min. This procedure was repeated three times to obtain the desired thickness of the ZnO seed layer. Finally, ZnO nanorods were grown on seed layer coated substrates via hydrothermal synthesis. In short, an aqueous solution of 30 mM zinc nitrate and 15 mM hexamethylenetetramine were poured into a Teflon liner with the FTO substrates and Ir foil and then heated at 95 °C for 6 h. Afterwards, the hydrothermally-grown ZnO nanorod samples were rinsed with DI water and blow dried with nitrogen.

The iridium oxide precursor solution was prepared in 4 steps: (i) 50 mL of 0.005 M iridium chloride aqueous solution (dark brown) was stirred for 30 min; (ii) 0.5 mL hydrogen peroxide (30 wt%) was added slowly and stirred for 15 min until the solution color turned from light brown to yellowish; (iii) 250 mg oxalic acid was mixed in the solution while, over 15 minutes, the solution turned to a light yellowish color; (iv) potassium carbonate was slowly added to change the pH to ~ 10. The solution was aged for three days until the solution turned a purple or blueish color. Electrodeposition of IrO2 was performed in a three-electrode configuration, with FTO/Ir foil used as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode, and Pt wire as the counter electrode. A cyclic voltammetry scan from 0 to 0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl was repeated 30 times at a rate of 50 mV per second. After electrodeposition, the samples were soaked for 10 min in 0.01 M perchloric acid to etch the ZnO layer. Finally, the iridium oxide (IrO2) nanotube array was washed with DI water and blow dried with nitrogen.

Testing of cathodes and anodes

The CO2 RR activity of CuAg cathode was tested in a three-electrode configuration at a fixed potential of −1.0 V vs. RHE in 0.2 M CsHCO3 electrolyte (pH = 7.1) in a sandwich-type polyether ether ketone (PEEK) cell purged with CO2 at 5 sccm. IrO2 and Ag/AgCl were used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The −1.0 V vs. RHE potential was chosen based on literature values in order to optimize the C2+ product selectivity.

Current–voltage measurements were carried out for IrO2 nanotube anodes in a three-electrode configuration, with platinum foil as the counter electrode, Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode and IrO2 nanotubes as the working electrode. The three-electrode J–V measurements were performed in different concentrations of CsHCO3 electrolyte (0.1–0.5 M). Applied voltages vs. Ag/AgCl were converted to RHE scale using the Nernst equation. The IrO2 nanotubes on Ir foil (Ir/IrO2) exhibit superior performance to IrO2 nanotubes on Ti foil (Ti/IrO2) and to IrO2 nanotubes on a FTO substrate.

Electrochemical cell and measurement of gas and liquid products

The compression cell and product measurement protocol used in this work have been described in detail previously, therefore, a summary will be given here. We cleaned the sandwich cell in aqua regia or nitric acid and DI water before every measurement and each measurement was repeated several times. All the gaseous products were measured with an inline GC (SRI 8610C) and liquid samples were collected after GC measurements and fed to the high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) for liquid products analysis.

Power matching electronics

Coupling of two Si PV cells in series to the electrochemical cell was accomplished with an MPP tracker (Texas Instruments bq25504EVM-674 board with integrated inductive boost converter) which, as received, can supply voltages up to 2.9 V from a minimum
0.9 V input. The output voltage was adjusted to suit our target voltage range by replacing the fixed feedback resistor (5.9 MΩ) on the board with an adjustable potentiometer (3−7 MΩ).

The four terminal III–V/Si tandem solar cells were interfac- ed to two modified MPP trackers. One MPP tracker was connected with two outer (top) series-connected III–V absorbers, while other MPP tracker was connected to the two bottom Si absorbers. These two MPP circuits were connected in parallel to each other and to the electrochemical cell to provide constant voltage. We used two MPP trackers to avoid spectrum mismatch between the top and bottom absorbers (see solar to hydrocarbons discussion in the ESI† for details).

Solar-driven CO₂ reduction cell

Solar-driven measurements of TD1 and TD2 were conducted by a calibrated xenon lamp equipped with AM 1.5G and AM0 filters. Optical neutral density filters were used to control the illumination intensities (see solar to hydrocarbons in ESI† for details). A pyranometer (SolarLight Co. Inc., Model: PMA2144) was used to calibrate the power density for different optical density filter configurations. A certified silicon solar cell (Newport, Model: 91150V) was used to calibrate the light intensity. CO₂ was purged continuously at a rate of 5 sccm during the measurement.

Conclusions

The large overpotential requirements for electrochemical CO₂ reduction into multi-electron products had limited the overall conversion efficiency of EC and PV–EC devices. Here, we have critically evaluated all components of the EC cell to minimize the voltage losses while maintaining selectivity. An electrodeposited CuAg nanocoral cathode is shown to exhibit superior selectivity (~70%) to C₁–C₃ products over a wide potential and electrolyte concentration range. A highly-active IrO₂ nanotube anode is used to reduce the overpotential required for water oxidation. Use of MPP tracker electronics is used to keep the PV-connected EC cell within its operational window over a range of illumination conditions. Two series-connected silicon solar cells, an MPP tracker, and an electrochemical cell in combination result in a stabilized power output and reduce CO₂ into hydrocarbons and oxygenates with a maximum conversion efficiency of 3.9%, while a four-terminal tandem cell assisted device achieved a peak solar to hydrocarbon and oxygenate conversion efficiency of 5.6%. Both conversion efficiencies far exceed that for natural photosynthesis. The modular nature of the approach we employed will allow for further improvements in efficiency, particularly if a cathode which can selectively produce hydrocarbons and oxygenates at lower overpotentials can be discovered.
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