# Copper Tetracyanoquinodimethane (CuTCNQ): A Metal–Organic Semiconductor for Room-Temperature Visible to Long-Wave Infrared Photodetection

Sivacarendran Balendhran, Zakir Hussain, Vivek R. Shrestha, Jasper Cadusch, Ming Ye, Nima Sefidmooye Azar, Hyungjin Kim, Rajesh Ramanathan, James Bullock, Ali Javey, Vipul Bansal,\* and Kenneth B. Crozier\*



The devices show response times (~365  $\mu$ s) that would be sufficient for many infrared applications (e.g., video rate imaging), with a frequency cutoff point of 1 kHz.

KEYWORDS: CuTCNQ, photodetectors, visible, mid-infrared, metal-organic semiconductor

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Semiconductor photodetectors play a pivotal role in applications that include imaging, telecommunications, sensing, and monitoring. These applications span wavelengths from the visible to the long-wave infrared (LWIR). For photodetection in the visible range, Si is the typical material of choice due to its excellent responsivity, stability, and the ease with which it can be integrated with Si electronics to produce image sensors and spectrometers.<sup>1-3</sup> For high-performance infrared photodetection, InGaAs (near- and shortwave infrared: NIR and SWIR) and HgCdTe (mid- and long-wave infrared: MWIR and LWIR) are frequently employed.<sup>4,5</sup> However, these technologies often require complex fabrication processes (e.g., to achieve lattice matching and stoichiometry control) and/or cryogenic cooling.<sup>6</sup> Alternatives include quantum well infrared photodetectors (QWIP), type II superlattice structures (T2SLS), barrier infrared detectors (BIRD), and microbolometers. These operate over specific spectral bands defined by material and other choices, rather than being broadband.<sup>4,7</sup> To date, microbolometers have been the main solution for cost-effective room-temperature MWIR and LWIR range photodetection, but they still suffer slow response times (15–20 ms).<sup>8</sup> Although these technologies

work well for many applications, broadband (visible to LWIR) photodetectors that can be fabricated inexpensively and achieve faster response times could enable new applications, for example, in very compact optical systems such as on-chip spectrometers that could be incorporated into smartphones, tablets, and drones.<sup>9</sup>

Two-dimensional (2D) materials are promising candidates for photodetectors due to their self-terminating lattice structure. This allows the formation of thin heterostructures by simply stacking layers of 2D materials on top of one another, eliminating any requirement of lattice matching or surface passivation techniques.<sup>10</sup> The 2D nature of such materials can result in significant size reduction enabling field deployable compact optical devices.<sup>9,11</sup> Some 2D materials show very high carrier mobilities at room temperature, making them ideal for high-speed photodetection. Graphene is

 Received:
 July 13, 2021

 Accepted:
 July 28, 2021



extensively explored due to its gapless nature, enabling photoinduced charge carrier generation over a broadband spectral range, from ultraviolet to far infrared.<sup>12</sup> These devices, however, suffer poor optical absorption in the MWIR/LWIR range (~2.3%) due to the single atomic layer thickness of graphene.<sup>13,14</sup> To increase the absorption, complex structures such as optical antennas, plasmon resonance structures, or micro/nanocavities can be used.<sup>15,16</sup> This increases the fabrication difficulty and the cost of such detectors and often leads to a narrowband response. Recently, narrow bandgap semiconductors such as black phosphorus and noble metal dichalcogenides and semimetals have been used in MWIR photodetection.<sup>17-20</sup> Black phosphorus (bP) has been demonstrated to have photoresponse for wavelengths between 0.4 and 4  $\mu$ m,<sup>17,21-24</sup> while devices based on alloying bP with arsenic (bAsP) have shown photoresponse out to 8.2  $\mu$ m.<sup>21,25</sup> However, the synthesis of bulk crystals of bP and bAsP involves chemical vapor transport methods over a long period of time or high-pressure and -temperature deposition processes.<sup>21,26</sup> Most of the narrow bandgap 2D materials rely on mechanical exfoliation of the bulk crystals, followed by a high yield transfer process for 2D device fabrication.<sup>19,21,27,28</sup> Furthermore, bP photo-oxidizes in ambient conditions, which is detrimental for device performance as it rapidly converts into  $PO_x^{29-31}$  This can be mitigated via additional surface passivation steps such as chemical treatment or dielectric capping,<sup>32,33</sup> which increases fabrication complexity. One may therefore conclude that while progress has been made, there is still much to be done to realize photodetectors that operate over a wide range of wavelengths at room temperature and can be manufactured in a simple and cost-effective manner.

Organic/metal-organic materials, however, are an attractive solution to the aforementioned challenges, as they offer lowcost material synthesis, scalable device fabrication processes, and integration capabilities with flexible platforms.<sup>34,35</sup> Copper tetracyanoquinodimethane (CuTCNQ) is a narrow bandgap metal-organic semiconductor (Figure 1a) with broadband optical absorption.<sup>36,37</sup> Its bandgap is reported to be in the range of 0.137-0.37 eV, depending on the approach employed for bandgap estimation.<sup>36,37</sup> Its use for UV detection has been



Figure 1. (a) Crystal structure of phase 1 CuTCNQ. (b) Schematic illustration of the photodetector realized in this work.

reported previously.<sup>38</sup> Although NIR photoresponse of CuTCNQ has been reported in a study of photocatalysis,<sup>3</sup> photodetectors have not been developed. Its synthesis is simple and scalable, being based on processes such as chemical vapor deposition or wet-chemical reactions.<sup>39-42</sup> In this work, we investigate the viability of CuTCNQ for room-temperature broadband (visible to LWIR) photodetection using a simple device architecture. We simulate the thickness-dependent optical absorption of CuTCNQ to support our material of choice and the adopted device architecture for LWIR photodetection. We produce CuTCNQ photodetectors in an interdigitated electrode (IDE) device architecture (Figure 1b) via a simple drop-cast process. Our devices show photoresponse to a broad range of wavelengths from visible (430 nm) to LWIR (10  $\mu$ m). The devices show sub-millisecond rise times (of 365  $\mu$ s) and a -3 dB roll-off frequency of 1 kHz at  $\lambda$  $= 2.2 \ \mu m.$ 

# 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CuTCNQ crystals are synthesized by a wet-chemical reaction between copper iodide and neutral TCNQ (TCNQ<sup>0</sup>) in acetonitrile (details in the Methods section).<sup>39</sup> The reaction process is described as follows:

$$Cu_{2}I_{2(aq)} + 2TCNQ_{(aq)} \rightarrow 2CuTCNQ_{(s)} + 2I_{(aq)}$$
(1)

Briefly, TCNQ<sup>0</sup> has a large electron affinity of 3.38 eV that facilitates its spontaneous reduction by CuI to form the TCNQ<sup>-</sup> anion, the latter reacting with the Cu<sup>+</sup> cation to produce ionic crystals of phase I CuTCNQ, within 3 min. The as-synthesized crystals are characterized to determine their structural and compositional integrity. Figure 2a shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of drop-cast CuTCNQ crystals. Needle-shaped structures with large aspect ratios (length/width >20) are observed, suggesting the presence of phase I CuTCNQ crystals.<sup>37</sup> Phase 2 crystals occur in short platelet-shaped structures (length/width of approximate unity) and are produced either during prolonged wet-chemical reactions between the same precursors or by exposure of phase I CuTCNQ to high temperatures in acetonitrile.<sup>39</sup> Since phase I exhibits a narrow bandgap, we stop the synthesis process prior to the formation of phase II crystals. We carry out energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) to analyze the material composition. The EDX spectrum (Figure 2b) shows peaks corresponding to C K $\alpha$  (0.28 keV), N K $\alpha$ (0.39 keV), and Cu L $\alpha$  (0.93 keV), which is consistent with the presence of TCNQ<sup>-</sup> and Cu<sup>+</sup>.<sup>39,42</sup> The absence of O peaks affirms the lack of any  $CuO_x$  in the material, which is consistent with the high electron affinity of TCNQ,<sup>43</sup> resulting in higher compositional stability in the form of CuTCNQ. The material (CuTCNQ) is further characterized by comparing its measured Raman spectrum to that measured from the TCNQ<sup>0</sup> precursor. Raman peaks known to be characteristic of C=CH bending and C=C ring stretch vibrational modes of TCNQ are observed for TCNQ<sup>0</sup> and the as-synthesized crystals (of CuTCNQ) at 1202 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 2c). However, the C–CN wing stretch (at 1370 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and C $\equiv$ N stretch (2202 cm<sup>-1</sup>) modes are observed to be red-shifted from those measured from the TCNQ<sup>0</sup> sample (at 1450 and 2222  $cm^{-1}$ , respectively). Based on the literature, <sup>42,44</sup> we attribute these red-shifts to the characteristics of CuTCNQ, which originates from the charge transfer between Cu<sup>+</sup> and TCNQ<sup>-</sup>. Such red-shifts and the absence of additional TCNQ<sup>0</sup> peaks at

www.acsami.org



**Figure 2.** Material characterization: (a) SEM image of drop-cast CuTCNQ crystals. The scale bar is  $10 \ \mu$ m. (b) EDX, (c) Raman, and (d) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of drop-cast CuTCNQ crystals. EDX and Raman spectra have been normalized to their highest peak intensity values.



Figure 3. (a) Schematic illustration of the simulated CuTCNQ structures and (b) their absorbance spectra, plotted as a function of wavelength and thickness. The incident wave is unpolarized and is at normal incidence.

1450 and 2222 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate the high purity of CuTCNQ employed in this study. Figure 2d presents the measured reflectance spectrum of the as-synthesized CuTCNQ. The positions of the spectral features observed in the reflectance spectrum of the as-synthesized CuTCNQ are consistent with the previous reports.<sup>45,46</sup> In particular, the distinctive reflectance spectral feature at 12.12  $\mu$ m further confirms the presence of phase I CuTCNQ.<sup>42</sup>

To predict the thickness-dependent optical absorbance and reflectance in CuTCNQ, we carry out electromagnetic simulations using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method. As the drop-cast process results in CuTCNQ crystals randomly oriented across all three dimensions (Figure 2a), it is difficult to model the fabricated devices. We thus consider a simplified model in which we take the CuTCNQ to be a planar film and calculate its absorption spectrum as a function of thickness. We consider three configurations (Figure 3a), which are as follows. Configuration 1: freestanding CuTCNQ crystal to quantify the intrinsic optical absorbance. Configuration 2: CuTCNQ crystal suspended on the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate to assess the effect of the substrate excluding the IDE. Configuration 3: CuTCNQ crystal on IDE/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si to mimic the experimental IDE device architecture. In each case, the thickness of

CuTCNQ is varied from 0.1 to 2  $\mu$ m, in steps of 100 nm. We consider this range of thicknesses, as our SEM analysis shows that single crystals of CuTCNQ are within this range. As the thickness/width of the CuTCNQ crystals is larger than 100 nm, we exclude quantum confinement effects. The results, i.e., absorption in CuTCNQ for the three configurations as a function of wavelength and thickness, are shown in Figure 3b. To compare the results for these configurations, we consider the example of the CuTCNQ crystal being 1  $\mu$ m thick. Refer to the Supporting Information, Figure S1 and Table S1, for the corresponding absorption line plots and average absorption values. For the freestanding CuTCNQ crystal (configuration 1), the absorption is seen to be above 80% in the range of 0.4– 2  $\mu$ m, with a broad peak occurring at 760 nm. In the MWIR and LWIR range, weaker absorption peaks (20-30%) are observed at 4.54, 6.4, 7.45, and 8.45  $\mu$ m. In configuration 2, an enhancement in the absorbance in the MWIR and LWIR range is seen (compared to configuration 1) due to the substrate acting as an optical cavity back-reflector. In configuration 3, a further enhancement in the absorption is observed in the MWIR and LWIR range, owing to the back-reflection from the Au electrodes. Since the absorption in CuTCNQ for configuration 1 is greater than 80% in the visible to SWIR



**Figure 4.** Photodetector characterization: (a) optical microscopic images of IDE structures before and after CuTCNQ crystals are drop-cast. The scale bar is 100  $\mu$ m. (b) Photocurrent vs time and (c) frequency response for pulsed illumination at  $\lambda = 2.2 \ \mu$ m (1.27 mWmm<sup>-2</sup>), with rise time, fall time, and cutoff frequency as indicated. (d) Current–voltage characteristics and the voltage-dependent photoresponse at  $\lambda = 8.35 \ \mu$ m (100 mWmm<sup>-2</sup>). (e) Photocurrent vs optical power density at  $\lambda = 8.35 \ \mu$ m. (f) Responsivity (left axis) and detectivity (right axis) vs wavelength. The bias voltage is 2 V.

range, we do not expect that the addition of a back reflector would make a substantial difference. This is confirmed by our modeling that predicts that the absolute increase in absorption ( $\Delta A$ ) is ~3% in the visible to SWIR range (0.4–2  $\mu$ m) for configuration 3 compared to freestanding CuTCNQ. For the MWIR range (2–5  $\mu$ m), the average absolute increase in absorption  $\Delta A$  is 8%, while for the LWIR range (5–9  $\mu$ m), the increase is more significant (20%). For configuration 3 (CuTCNQ on IDE), the average LWIR absorption (5–9  $\mu$ m) is thus approximately twice that of freestanding CuTCNQ. This is a significant level of enhancement, confirming the potential of this device architecture for LWIR photodetection.

Motivated by the broadband absorption predicted by simulations, we proceed to realize photodetectors based on CuTCNQ crystals. IDE chips are fabricated via standard photolithography processes and packaged into 28-pin chip carriers. To produce a photodetector device, multiple droplets of acetonitrile (in which the CuTCNQ crystals are suspended) are pipetted onto a pair of IDEs. The devices are dried under ambient conditions. This completes the fabrication process. Refer to the Methods section for further details on the device fabrication process. Figure 4a presents the optical microscope images of the device before and after the drop-cast process. We bias the device using a transimpedance amplifier and characterize the photoresponse to modulated illumination using an oscilloscope and a lock-in amplifier. Refer to the Methods section for further details. Figure 4b shows the measured photocurrent vs time, with the illumination laser

(wavelength: 2.2  $\mu$ m, illumination intensity: 1.27 mWmm<sup>-2</sup>) externally triggered using a square wave (4 V, 200 Hz). We find the rise and fall times to be 365 and 385  $\mu$ s, respectively, at a bias of 2 V. We characterize the photoresponse of a commercial mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector under the same illumination conditions, to confirm that the measured response times of our devices are not limited by the bandwidth of the experimental setup (refer to the Supporting Information, Figure S2). Figure 4c presents the frequency response of the device plotted in a dB scale  $(20 \times \log(I/I_0))$ , measured using modulated illumination (wavelength: 2.2  $\mu$ m). The -3 dB roll-off point is found to be 1 kHz and is in agreement with the measured rise time  $(f_{-3 \text{ dB}} \approx \frac{0.35}{t_r})$ . We characterize multiple photodetectors to demonstrate the reproducibility of the devices (Supporting Information, Figure S3 and Table S2). We find that the devices show rise times, fall times, and cutoff frequencies that average 393, 446.5  $\mu$ s, and 841.8 Hz, respectively. Figure 4d shows the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics and the bias-dependent LWIR photoresponse at  $\lambda = 8.35 \ \mu m$  (100 mWmm<sup>-2</sup>). The near-linear behavior of the measured I-V characteristics indicates that the CuTCNQ-Au contact is approximately ohmic and it forms a symmetric metal-semiconductor-metal junction (Supporting Information, Figure S4). The photoresponse increases linearly with bias voltage. A normalized photocurrent to dark current ratio, defined as the ratio between the responsivity to dark current, is measured to be ~4  $W^{-1}$  for 8.35  $\mu$ m (100  $mWmm^{-2}$ ) illumination, with very little variation as the bias is varied from 0.1 to 4 V (refer to the Supporting Information,



**Figure 5.** (a) Infrared photoluminescence spectrum and the Tauc plot. The latter is extracted from the wavelength-dependent extinction coefficient values. (b) Measured photoresponse spectrum, simulated absorption spectrum, and measured reflectance spectrum of a CuTCNQ device. (c) Schematic of the band diagram of the metal-semiconductor-metal interface of a CuTCNQ device under equilibrium (top) and with external bias (bottom). The photoresponse mechanism is illustrated for photon energies smaller and larger than the bandgap.

Figure S5). Figure 4e shows the dependence of the LWIR photoresponse on the optical power density, at a bias of  $\sim 2$  V. Photocurrent is observed to be sublinear with a power-law relationship of  $I_{\rm ph} \propto P^{0.81}$ . We characterize the photoresponse and spectral responsivity of our devices using a xenon source (430–1500 nm) and a blackbody source from an FTIR (1.5– 10  $\mu$ m). Refer to Section 4 for detailed experimental information. The spectral photoresponsivity is plotted in Figure 4f (left axis). The responsivity shows a steep declining trend from  $\sim 3.54 \ \mu m$ . This indicates an interband strong absorption edge at 3.54  $\mu$ m (bandgap: 0.35 eV), which is consistent with Mohammadtaheri et al.'s bandgap estimation of 0.37 eV.<sup>37</sup> We note that the CuTCNQ crystals do not cover the IDE in a uniform manner (Figure 4a). The coverage is incomplete and there are gaps between crystals. This is due to the stochastic nature of the drop-cast process and the coffee ring effect during the drying process. However, we assume that the coverage is 100% and the photosensitive area to be 250  $\times$ 250  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> for estimating the lower limit of the device responsivity and detectivity. We anticipate that methods  $(e.g., \text{ contact printing})^{47,48}$  to obtain more uniform coverage would be a fruitful area for future research, as these would likely increase the responsivity and detectivity. To estimate the detectivity, we experimentally characterize the noise current density (Supporting Information, Figure S6). The detectivity  $(D^*)$  of the photoconductor is calculated using the following equation<sup>21,49</sup>

$$D^* = \frac{R_\lambda \sqrt{A \times \Delta f}}{i_{\rm n}} \tag{2}$$

where  $R_{\lambda}$  is the wavelength-dependent responsivity, A is the device area ( $250 \times 250 \ \mu m^2$ ),  $\Delta f$  is the bandwidth, and  $i_n$  is the noise current. We characterize  $D^*$  at a modulation frequency of 200 Hz to minimize 1/f noise and present it in Figure 4f. The detectivity values are in the range of  $10^5 - 10^6$  cm Hz<sup>0.5</sup> W<sup>-1</sup>. These are modest values. We attribute this to the low spectral responsivity, which originates partly from incomplete CuTCNQ coverage of the IDE area. We compare the percentage coverage to the obtained photoresponse (Supporting Information, Figure S7). The predominant trend is that the devices with greater coverage show greater photoresponse. We assess the stability of our devices over time, by measuring the

LWIR photoresponse of 17 devices to chopped illumination at 8.35  $\mu$ m (Supporting Information, Figure S8). The average photoresponse normalized to day 1 shows <3% variation over 15 days, indicating the devices remain stable over time. In addition, we characterize the ambient effects on the LWIR photoresponse ( $\lambda = 8.35 \ \mu m$ ). We observe a notable enhancement (on average  $5.75\times$ ) of the photoresponse under vacuum (Supporting Information, Figure S9). We attribute this to oxygen- and humidity-induced channel doping, typically seen in n-type semiconductors. Free carriers in n-type semiconductors have been observed to participate in oxygen and humidity absorption, which increases the hole concentration in the channel.<sup>50,51</sup> This will result in increased recombination of photogenerated electrons, resulting in a reduced photoresponse. If oxygen- and humidity-induced doping occur under ambient conditions, one would therefore expect the photoresponse to be enhanced when the device is in vacuum. This is consistent with our observations.

To determine the mechanism governing the photoresponse, we characterize the infrared photoluminescence (IRPL) of drop-cast CuTCNQ crystals. Figure 5a compares the IRPL spectrum with a Tauc plot obtained using the extinction coefficient values used in our simulations. The Tauc plot is consistent with the bandgap estimation by Mohammadtaheri et al.,<sup>37</sup> showing a strong absorption tail at  $\sim 0.37$  eV. The lack of a strong PL peak near the band edge suggests that CuTCNQ possesses an indirect bandgap. A report from Heintz et al.<sup>36</sup> estimates the electronic bandgap of CuTCNQ to be ~0.137 eV, based on an Arrhenius plot derived from the temperature-dependent electrical conductivity. This approach underestimates the bandgap in extrinsic semiconductors due to the presence of localized surface and gap states. The Tauc plot shows absorption features in the Urbach tail region, suggesting that the MWIR and LWIR absorption peaks in CuTCNQ originate from such localized gap states. These absorption features strongly correlate to the peaks observed in the IRPL spectrum. This suggests that radiative recombination occurs in the MWIR–LWIR range (0.3–0.1 eV), facilitated by localized gap states. We plot the measured spectral responsivity with the simulated absorption and measured reflectance of a CuTCNQ on the IDE device, in the MWIR and LWIR regions (Figure 5b). It can be seen that the absorption and reflectance features correlate well with the measured responsivity, suggesting that

the MWIR and LWIR photoresponse is due to photoexcitation of localized gap states. The sharp decline in responsivity at ~3.35  $\mu$ m is indicative of the strong absorption tail at ~0.37 eV, which is consistent with our bandgap estimates and the literature.<sup>37</sup> The measured reflection dips at 4.54 and 8.49  $\mu$ m also coincide with the peaks observed in the responsivity and simulated absorption spectra, which further validates our hypothesis. Note that the absolute reflectance value cannot be compared with the simulations, as the latter only model uniform thin films of CuTCNQ rather than the complex arrangement of needle-like crystals that result from the dropcasting process. Based on these results, we ascribe the photoresponse mechanism to photoexcited electron-hole pair generation from the valence band ( $\lambda \leq 3.35 \ \mu m$ ) and midgap states ( $\lambda > 3.35 \,\mu$ m) to the conduction band. Figure 5c schematically illustrates a simplified band diagram of a metalsemiconductor-metal structure of our CuTCNQ on IDE device architecture and the photoresponse mechanism under bias.

## 3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated a broadband metalorganic photodetector (visible-LWIR) based on drop-cast CuTCNQ crystals. The material synthesis and device fabrication processes are facile, cost-effective, and scalable. Our photodetectors operate at room temperature and with response times (e.g., rise time 365  $\mu$ s) that are relatively fast in comparison to some other uncooled IR detectors such as VO<sub>x</sub>based bolometers. Our simulation and experimental results show that optical absorption of CuTCNQ can be engineered to cater for photodetection over a broadband range from ~0.43 to 10  $\mu$ m. We show the applicability of CuTCNQ as an excellent candidate for room-temperature, low-cost, and broadband (visible-LWIR) photodetectors. By producing photosensitive channels with a uniform coverage of CuTCNQ crystals, we envision that the responsivity and detectivity can be further improved. Considering that CuTCNQ in the current work is the first example for the application for a metal-organic semiconductor as a room-temperature broadband photodetector operating in the LWIR range, the expanding library of TCNQ-based charge-transfer coordination polymers throws open an exciting possibility for advancing the field of broadband IR photodetectors.

# 4. METHODS

4.1. Material Synthesis and Characterization. Phase I CuTCNQ crystals are synthesized via a wet-chemical reaction between 5 mM each of copper iodide and TCNQ<sup>0</sup> in acetonitrile at 75 °C for 3 min.<sup>39</sup> This results in the precipitation of dark blue crystals, which are washed first in acetonitrile to remove potentially unreacted TCNQ<sup>0</sup>, then in deionized water to remove any unreacted copper and iodide ions. The crystals are then dried in vacuum at room temperature. SEM images are obtained using an FEI Verios 460L FE-SEM, at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. EDX analyses are carried out with the SEM using an EDX Oxford X-Max Silicon Drift Detector. A PerkinElmer D100 spectrophotometer in the attenuated total reflectance mode, with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, is used for the FTIR spectroscopy. Raman spectroscopy is carried out with a PerkinElmer Raman Station 200F, at an excitation wavelength of 785 nm and with a spot size of 100  $\mu$ m. The infrared photoluminescence spectrum is measured using an FTIR (iS50, Thermo Fisher) equipped with a liquid N<sub>2</sub>-cooled HgCdTe detector. The excitation wavelength is 638 nm and the PL spectra are collected with a 15× reflecting objective.

**4.2. Electromagnetic Simulations.** Two-dimensional simulations of the absorbance and reflectance in CuTCNQ are carried out using the finite-difference time-domain method (FDTD) with a commercial package (Lumerical FDTD Solutions, 2019). A planewave source  $(0.45-10.5 \ \mu\text{m})$  at normal incidence is used. Waves polarized along *x*- and *z*-directions are considered to determine the average absorbance for an unpolarized incident wave. Periodic boundary conditions are used at the *x*-direction boundaries (period:  $25 \ \mu\text{m}$ ). The Au stripes of the IDE are  $10 \ \mu\text{m}$  wide and 110 nm thick. Perfectly matched layer (PML) boundaries are used at the *y*-direction boundaries, which allows us to simulate the free space above the device and the bottom silicon substrate. The frequency-dependent complex refractive index of CuTCNQ is from Querry (Supporting Information, Figure S10),<sup>46</sup> while those of SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si and Au are obtained from Palik.<sup>52</sup>

**4.3. Device Fabrication.** IDE device patterns are produced via photolithography (MLA150, Heidelberg Instruments) on 300 nm SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrates coated with AZ1512 photoresist. After exposure, AZ726 developer is used to develop the electrode patterns. Chromium (10 nm thick) and gold (100 nm thick) are deposited via electron beam evaporation. The IDEs are realized after lift-off in acetone. Each fabricated IDE chip is packaged in a 28-pin dual in-line chip carrier. CuTCNQ crystals are suspended in acetonitrile (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and drop-cast onto the IDE structures using a micropipette. Approximately, three 10  $\mu$ L droplets are drop-cast per cm<sup>2</sup> until an acceptable level of IDE coverage is achieved. The devices are dried under ambient conditions.

**4.4. Visible–SWIR Photoresponse Characterization.** A xenon lamp is used with a monochromator (Cornerstone 260i, Newport) to vary the illumination between 430 and 1500 nm, in steps of 10 nm. A 750 nm high pass filter is used in the beam path for wavelengths  $\geq$ 760 nm, for filtering the second-order wavelengths through the monochromator. A visible–NIR objective (magnification 50×) is used to focus the light onto the device. The photodetectors are biased (2 V) using a transimpedance amplifier (TIA, SRS 570), with the dark current offset to zero. The light beam is mechanically chopped at a 110 Hz and the photocurrent is measured with a lock-in amplifier (LIA, SRS 860). The illumination power density at the focal plane is measured through a pinhole aperture (500  $\mu$ m), using a power meter (Thorlabs, PMD100) equipped with calibrated Si (430–750 nm; Thorlabs, S121C) and Ge (750–1500 nm; Thorlabs, S122C) photodiodes.

4.5. MWIR-LWIR Photoresponse Characterization. MWIR-LWIR photoresponse is characterized using an FTIR spectrometer (Frontier, PerkinElmer). Illumination from the blackbody source is passed through the Michelson interferometer of the FTIR and focused onto the photodetector under test using a reflecting objective (magnification  $40\times$ ). The photodetectors are biased using a TIA, with the dark current offset to zero. The amplified photoresponse is input to the external detector interface of the FTIR. The photodetector photoresponse vs wavelength  $(I_{CuTCNQ})$  is thus obtained using the FTIR but still needs to be calibrated for the source spectrum and power. The relative intensity of the blackbody source  $(I_{DTGS})$  is measured using the internal deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector of the FTIR. The photoresponsivity of the device is then calculated as  $I_{\rm CuTCNQ}/I_{\rm DTGS}$ . This correctly accounts for the spectrum of the source but the power still needs to be calibrated for. This is done using a calibrated Ge photodiode (Thorlabs, FDG03) to measure the power. Refer to the Supporting Information, Figure S11, for a schematic illustration of the experimental setup. The noise in the raw responsivity spectrum is filtered using a locally weighted scatterplot smoothing approach. The raw photoresponse data in the MWIR-LWIR range is compared with the measurement noise floor and presented as the Supporting Information, Figure S12. Noise floor measurements are carried out under the same bias conditions without the modulated IR illumination.

**4.6. Device Performance Characterization.** For further device characterization, we use MWIR and LWIR laser sources at 2.2 and 8.35  $\mu$ m wavelengths, respectively. For all measurements, the photodetectors are biased (2 V) using the TIA, with the dark current

# **ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces**

offset to zero. Frequency response and time-resolved photoresponse are measured using modulated illumination at a wavelength of 2.2  $\mu$ m (intensity: 1.27 mWmm<sup>-2</sup>). LWIR photoresponse as a function of power density is characterized using mechanically chopped illumination at 8.35  $\mu$ m. The laser beam is focused on the photodetector under test using a reflecting objective (magnification 10×). Sixteen photocurrent measurements are performed at each laser power and are averaged. The optical power incident on the device is controlled by varying the laser driving current. The optical power incident upon the IDE device is measured using a power meter (Thorlabs, PM100D) equipped with a broadband thermal sensor (Thorlabs, S401C). The device is replaced by the thermal sensor to measure the incident power. Knife edge measurements are carried out to determine the size of the LWIR laser beam (wavelength: 8.35  $\mu$ m), which is taken as the distance between points at which the measured power is 10 and 90% of its maximum value. The diameter of the LWIR beam (wavelength: 8.35  $\mu$ m) is found to be 200  $\mu$ m. The IDE device area is  $250 \times 250 \ \mu m^2$  and is taken as the photosensitive area of the device. Note that due to the partial coverage of the IDE area by the CuTCNQ crystals, the material photoresponsivity will be underestimated. The current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of the devices are measured using a source measure unit (SMU, Keithley 2450). The normalized photocurrent:dark current is calculated by repeating the I-V measurements under dark and 8.35  $\mu$ m illumination (100 mWmm<sup>-2</sup>) conditions. The current noise density is measured using a TIA and an LIA. The same amplifier gain settings  $(1 \ \mu AV^{-1})$  as those used for photoresponse characterization are employed. The current noise density spectrum is measured sixteen times using the LIA, and these measurements are averaged. Device reproducibility measurements are carried out by repeating the LWIR photoresponse vs power density measurements ( $\lambda = 8.35 \ \mu m$ ) on 18 devices, over two chips. Device stability measurements are carried out by repeating these measurements over multiple days. Photoresponse is normalized to the values obtained on day 1.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.1c13268.

Simulated absorption of 1-um-thick CuTCNQ; zero bias photoresponse of a commercial MCT detector; device performance reproducibility; current–voltage characteristics; voltage-dependent normalized photocurrent to dark current ratio; noise current density; correlation between photoresponse and % coverage; device stability over time; effect of ambient air exposure; wavelengthdependent complex refractive index of CuTCNQ; schematic illustration of the experimental setup and raw photoresponse data in the MWIR–LWIR range (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

- Vipul Bansal Sir Ian Potter NanoBioSensing Facility, NanoBiotechnology Research Laboratory (NBRL), School of Science, RMIT University, Melbourne, Victoria 3001, Australia; orcid.org/0000-0002-3354-4317; Email: vipul.bansal@rmit.edu.au
- Kenneth B. Crozier School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; ARC Centre of Excellence for Transformative Meta-Optical Systems, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia;

orcid.org/0000-0003-0947-001X; Email: kcrozier@ unimelb.edu.au

# Authors

www.acsami.org

- Sivacarendran Balendhran School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; orcid.org/0000-0002-4390-3491
- Zakir Hussain Sir Ian Potter NanoBioSensing Facility, NanoBiotechnology Research Laboratory (NBRL), School of Science, RMIT University, Melbourne, Victoria 3001, Australia
- Vivek R. Shrestha School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
- Jasper Cadusch Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
- Ming Ye Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; o orcid.org/0000-0002-5961-7991
- Nima Sefidmooye Azar Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; orcid.org/0000-0002-3263-7044
- Hyungjin Kim Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
- Rajesh Ramanathan Sir Ian Potter NanoBioSensing Facility, NanoBiotechnology Research Laboratory (NBRL), School of Science, RMIT University, Melbourne, Victoria 3001, Australia; ⊚ orcid.org/0000-0002-8500-9148
- James Bullock Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; orcid.org/0000-0001-7903-9642
- Ali Javey Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsami.1c13268

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by DARPA (HR0011-16-1-004) and by the Australian Research Council (DP210103428, DP170103477, DP180104141, FT140100577, DE150100909). This work was performed in part at the Micro Nano Research Facility (MNRF) at RMIT University in the Victorian Node of the Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF). Facilities and technical support from the RMIT Microscopy and Microanalysis Facility (RMMF), a node of Microscopy Australia, are acknowledged. This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence for Transformative Meta-Optical Systems (Project ID CE200100010).

G

#### **ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces**

#### REFERENCES

(1) Huang, Z. H.; Carey, J. E.; Liu, M. G.; Guo, X. Y.; Mazur, E.; Campbell, J. C. Microstructured Silicon Photodetector. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *89*, No. 033506.

(2) Cadusch, J. J.; Meng, J.; Craig, B.; Crozier, K. B. Silicon Microspectrometer Chip Based on Nanostructured Fishnet Photodetectors with Tailored Responsivities and Machine Learning. *Optica* **2019**, *6*, 1171–1177.

(3) Meng, J.; Cadusch, J. J.; Crozier, K. B. Detector-Only Spectrometer Based on Structurally Colored Silicon Nanowires and a Reconstruction Algorithm. *Nano Lett.* **2020**, *20*, 320–328.

(4) Rogalski, A. Recent Progress in Infrared Detector Technologies. *Infrared Phys. Technol.* **2011**, *54*, 136–154.

(5) Rogalski, A.; Antoszewski, J.; Faraone, L. Third-Generation Infrared Photodetector Arrays. J. Appl. Phys. 2009, 105, No. 091101.
(6) Rogalski, A.; Martyniuk, P.; Kopytko, M. Challenges of Small-Pixel Infrared Detectors: A Review. Rep. Prog. Phys. 2016, 79, No. 046501.

(7) Ciupa, R.; Rogalski, A. Performance Limitations of Photon and Thermal Infrared Detectors. *Optoelectron. Rev.* 1997, 1997, 257-266.
(8) Rogalski, A. Infrared Detectors for the Future. *Acta Phys. Pol., A* 2009, 116, 389-406.

(9) Cadusch, J. J.; Meng, J.; Craig, B. J.; Shrestha, V.; Crozier, K. B. Visible to Long-Wave Infrared Chip-Scale Spectrometers Based on Photodetectors with Tailored Responsivities and Multispectral Filters. *Nanophotonics* **2020**, *9*, 3197–3208.

(10) Jariwala, D.; Marks, T. J.; Hersam, M. C. Mixed-Dimensional Van Der Waals Heterostructures. *Nat. Mater.* **2017**, *16*, 170–181.

(11) Buscema, M.; Island, J. O.; Groenendijk, D. J.; Blanter, S. I.; Steele, G. A.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Castellanos-Gomez, A. Photocurrent Generation with Two-Dimensional Van der Waals Semiconductors. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 3691–3718.

(12) Koppens, F. H. L.; Mueller, T.; Avouris, P.; Ferrari, A. C.; Vitiello, M. S.; Polini, M. Photodetectors Based on Graphene, Other Two-Dimensional Materials and Hybrid Systems. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, *9*, 780–793.

(13) Wang, X.; Cheng, Z.; Xu, K.; Tsang, H. K.; Xu, J.-B. High-Responsivity Graphene/Silicon-Heterostructure Waveguide Photodetectors. *Nat. Photonics* **2013**, *7*, 888–891.

(14) Xia, F.; Mueller, T.; Lin, Y.-m.; Valdes-Garcia, A.; Avouris, P. Ultrafast Graphene Photodetector. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2009**, *4*, 839–843.

(15) Rogalski, A.; Kopytko, M.; Martyniuk, P. Two-Dimensional Infrared and Terahertz Detectors: Outlook and Status. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **2019**, *6*, No. 021316.

(16) Sefidmooye Azar, N.; Shrestha, V. R.; Crozier, K. B. Bull's Eye Grating Integrated with Optical Nanoantennas for Plasmonic Enhancement of Graphene Long-Wave Infrared Photodetectors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2019**, *114*, No. 091108.

(17) Bullock, J.; Amani, M.; Cho, J.; Chen, Y.-Z.; Ahn, G. H.; Adinolfi, V.; Shrestha, V. R.; Gao, Y.; Crozier, K. B.; Chueh, Y.-L.; Javey, A. Polarization-Resolved Black Phosphorus/Molybdenum Disulfide Mid-Wave Infrared Photodiodes with High Detectivity at Room Temperature. *Nat. Photonics* **2018**, *12*, 601–607.

(18) Yu, X.; Yu, P.; Wu, D.; Singh, B.; Zeng, Q.; Lin, H.; Zhou, W.; Lin, J.; Suenaga, K.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Q. J. Atomically thin Noble Metal Dichalcogenide: A Broadband Mid-Infrared Semiconductor. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, No. 1545.

(19) Long, M.; Wang, Y.; Wang, P.; Zhou, X.; Xia, H.; Luo, C.; Huang, S.; Zhang, G.; Yan, H.; Fan, Z.; Wu, X.; Chen, X.; Lu, W.; Hu, W. Palladium Diselenide Long-Wavelength Infrared Photodetector with High Sensitivity and Stability. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 2511–2519.

(20) Liu, J.; Xia, F.; Xiao, D.; García de Abajo, F. J.; Sun, D. Semimetals for High-Performance Photodetection. *Nat. Mater.* **2020**, *19*, 830–837.

(21) Amani, M.; Regan, E.; Bullock, J.; Ahn, G. H.; Javey, A. Mid-Wave Infrared Photoconductors Based on Black Phosphorus-Arsenic Alloys. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11*, 11724–11731.

(22) Buscema, M.; Groenendijk, D. J.; Blanter, S. I.; Steele, G. A.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Castellanos-Gomez, A. Fast and Broadband Photoresponse of Few-Layer Black Phosphorus Field-Effect Transistors. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 3347–3352.

(23) Deng, B.; Frisenda, R.; Li, C.; Chen, X.; Castellanos-Gomez, A.; Xia, F. Progress on Black Phosphorus Photonics. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **2018**, *6*, No. 1800365.

(24) Erande, M. B.; Pawar, M. S.; Late, D. J. Humidity Sensing and Photodetection Behavior of Electrochemically Exfoliated Atomically Thin-Layered Black Phosphorus Nanosheets. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 11548–11556.

(25) Long, M.; Gao, A.; Wang, P.; Xia, H.; Ott, C.; Pan, C.; Fu, Y.;
Liu, E.; Chen, X.; Lu, W.; Nilges, T.; Xu, J.; Wang, X.; Hu, W.; Miao,
F. Room Temperature High-Detectivity Mid-Infrared Photodetectors
Based on Black Arsenic Phosphorus. *Sci. Adv.* 2017, *3*, No. e1700589.
(26) Li, L.; Yu, Y.; Ye, G. J.; Ge, Q.; Ou, X.; Wu, H.; Feng, D.; Chen,
V. H., Zhang, Y. Phosh, Phosphorus Field Effect Functional Network

X. H.; Zhang, Y. Black Phosphorus Field-Effect Transistors. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2014, 9, 372–377.

(27) Suryawanshi, S. R.; More, M. A.; Late, D. J. Laser Exfoliation of 2D Black Phosphorus Nanosheets and Their Application as a Field Emitter. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 112103–112108.

(28) Wang, Y.; Wu, P.; Wang, Z.; Luo, M.; Zhong, F.; Ge, X.; Zhang, K.; Peng, M.; Ye, Y.; Li, Q.; Ge, H.; Ye, J.; He, T.; Chen, Y.; Xu, T.; Yu, C.; Wang, Y.; Hu, Z.; Zhou, X.; Shan, C.; Long, M.; Wang, P.; Zhou, P.; Hu, W. Air-Stable Low-Symmetry Narrow-Bandgap 2D Sulfide Niobium for Polarization Photodetection. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, No. 2005037.

(29) Ahmed, T.; Balendhran, S.; Karim, M. N.; Mayes, E. L. H.; Field, M. R.; Ramanathan, R.; Singh, M.; Bansal, V.; Sriram, S.; Bhaskaran, M.; Walia, S. Degradation of Black Phosphorus is Contingent on UV–Blue Light Exposure. *npj 2D Mater. Appl.* **2017**, *1*, No. 18.

(30) Island, J. O.; Steele, G. A.; Zant, H. S. J. vd.; Castellanos-Gomez, A. Environmental Instability of Few-Layer Black Phosphorus. 2D Mater. 2015, 2, No. 011002.

(31) Abate, Y.; Akinwande, D.; Gamage, S.; Wang, H.; Snure, M.; Poudel, N.; Cronin, S. B. Recent Progress on Stability and Passivation of Black Phosphorus. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, No. 1704749.

(32) Kim, J.-S.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, W.; Kim, S.; Wu, D.; Tao, L.; Dodabalapur, A.; Lai, K.; Akinwande, D. Toward Air-Stable Multilayer Phosphorene Thin-Films and Transistors. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, No. 8989.

(33) Walia, S.; Balendhran, S.; Ahmed, T.; Singh, M.; El-Badawi, C.; Brennan, M. D.; Weerathunge, P.; Karim, M. N.; Rahman, F.; Rassell, A.; Duckworth, J.; Ramanathan, R.; Collis, G. E.; Lobo, C. J.; Toth, M.; Kotsakidis, J. C.; Weber, B.; Fuhrer, M.; Dominguez-Vera, J. M.; Spencer, M. J. S.; Aharonovich, I.; Sriram, S.; Bhaskaran, M.; Bansal, V. Ambient Protection of Few-Layer Black Phosphorus via Sequestration of Reactive Oxygen Species. *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, No. 1700152.

(34) Li, Q.; Guo, Y.; Liu, Y. Exploration of Near-Infrared Organic Photodetectors. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 6359–6379.

(35) Simone, G.; Dyson, M. J.; Meskers, S. C. J.; Janssen, R. A. J.; Gelinck, G. H. Organic Photodetectors and Their Application in Large Area and Flexible Image Sensors: The Role of Dark Current. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, No. 1904205.

(36) Heintz, R. A.; Zhao, H.; Ouyang, X.; Grandinetti, G.; Cowen, J.; Dunbar, K. R. New Insight into the Nature of Cu(TCNQ): Solution Routes to Two Distinct Polymorphs and Their Relationship to Crystalline Films That Display Bistable Switching Behavior. *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 144–156.

(37) Mohammadtaheri, M.; Ramanathan, R.; Walia, S.; Ahmed, T.; Weerathunge, P.; Anderson, S. R.; Field, M. R.; Dekiwadia, C. D.; O'Mullane, A. P.; Gaspera, E. D.; Bhaskaran, M.; Sriram, S.; Bansal, V. Broadband Light Active MTCNQ-Based Metal–Organic Semiconducting Hybrids for Enhanced Redox Catalysis. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2018**, *13*, 107–115.

н

(38) Basori, R.; Raychaudhuri, A. K. Role of Contact and Contact Modification on Photo-Response in a Charge Transfer Complex Single Nanowire Device. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **2014**, *6*, 63–69.

(39) Hussain, Z.; Ojha, R.; Martin, L. L.; Bond, A. M.; Ramanathan, R.; Bansal, V. Controlling the Morphological and Redox Properties of the CuTCNQ Catalyst through Solvent Engineering. *Emergent Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 35–44.

(40) Neufeld, A. K.; O'Mullane, A. P.; Bond, A. M. Control of Localized Nanorod Formation and Patterns of Semiconducting CuTCNQ Phase I Crystals by Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13846–13853.

(41) O'Mullane, A. P.; Fay, N.; Nafady, A.; Bond, A. M. Preparation of Metal–TCNQ Charge-Transfer Complexes on Conducting and Insulating Surfaces by Photocrystallization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 2066–2073.

(42) Ramanathan, R.; Pearson, A.; Walia, S.; Kandjani, A. E.; Mohammadtaheri, M.; Bhaskaran, M.; Sriram, S.; Bhargava, S. K.; Bansal, V. Solution-Processable Do-It-Yourself Switching Devices (DIY Devices) Based on CuTCNQ Metal-Organic Semiconductors. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2018**, *10*, 12–17.

(43) Usov, P. M.; Jiang, H.; Chevreau, H.; Peterson, V. K.; Leong, C. F.; D'Alessandro, D. M. Guest-Host Complexes of TCNQ and TCNE with Cu3(1,3,5-Benzenetricarboxylate)2. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2017, *121*, 26330–26339.

(44) Gucciardi, P. G.; Trusso, S.; Vasi, C.; Patanè, S.; Allegrini, M. Nano-Raman Imaging of Cu–TCNQ Clusters in TCNQ Thin Films by Scanning Near-Field Optical Microscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *4*, 2747–2753.

(45) Pearson, A.; O'Mullane, A. P.; Bhargava, S. K.; Bansal, V. Synthesis of CuTCNQ/Au Microrods by Galvanic Replacement of Semiconducting Phase I CuTCNQ with Kaubr4 in Aqueous Medium. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 8791–8801.

(46) Querry, M. R. Optical Constants; CRDC-CR-85034, Defense Technical Information Center, 1985; pp 372–384.

(47) Javey, A.; Nam; Friedman, R. S.; Yan, H.; Lieber, C. M. Layerby-Layer Assembly of Nanowires for Three-Dimensional, Multifunctional Electronics. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 773–777.

(48) Lau, P. H.; Takei, K.; Wang, C.; Ju, Y.; Kim, J.; Yu, Z.; Takahashi, T.; Cho, G.; Javey, A. Fully Printed, High Performance Carbon Nanotube Thin-Film Transistors on Flexible Substrates. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 3864–3869.

(49) Vincent, J. D. Fundamentals of Infrared Detector Operation and Testing; Wiley-VCH, 1990; p 504.

(50) Cho, K.; Park, W.; Park, J.; Jeong, H.; Jang, J.; Kim, T.-Y.; Hong, W.-K.; Hong, S.; Lee, T. Electric Stress-Induced Threshold Voltage Instability of Multilayer  $MoS_2$  Field Effect Transistors. *ACS Nano* **2013**, 7, 7751–7758.

(51) Han, P.; Adler, E. R.; Liu, Y.; St Marie, L.; El Fatimy, A.; Melis, S.; Van Keuren, E.; Barbara, P. Ambient Effects on Photogating in MoS<sub>2</sub> Photodetectors. *Nanotechnology* **2019**, *30*, No. 284004.

(52) Palik, E. D. Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids; Elsevier Acedemic Press, 1998; Vol. 3, pp 653-682.